## Northern District of California

<b>United States District Cour</b>	t
Northern District of Californ	ia

AMERICAN STEEL & AIRWAYS, INC., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

LEXINGTON INSURANCE COMPANY, et

Defendants.

Case No.: CV 12-03103-JST (KAW)

ORDER REGARDING DEFENDANTS' JOINT LETTER BRIEFS WITH THIRD **PARTIES** 

(Dkt. Nos. 91 & 92)

On November 5, 2013, Defendants filed separate joint letters with non-parties Devcon Construction Company (Dkt. No. 91) and the Irvine Company (Dkt. No. 92). Both non-parties were involved in the underlying litigation, in which Plaintiffs settled for \$1.75 million.

Plaintiffs are now seeking reimbursement from Defendants claiming that they owed a duty to defend claims asserted in the underlying action. Defendants are now seeking to obtain attorney billing records by third party subpoena from both non-parties to evaluate the reasonableness of the costs incurred.

After a review of the two joint letters, it does not appear that Plaintiffs earmarked settlement monies for attorney's fees nor were the billing records provided to Plaintiffs or their insurers in the underlying action. Furthermore, both non-parties have either already provided a redacted copy of the billing records or volunteered to provide redacted copies.

The Court is unclear as to how these documents are relevant to the instant action, namely how the billing records themselves are "reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence." Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1). Accordingly, the Court is denying Defendants' request without prejudice. Should Defendants decide to further pursue the billing records, they shall further meet and confer in good faith and draft subsequent joint letters, and specifically

## Case 3:12-cv-03103-JST Document 98 Filed 12/10/13 Page 2 of 2

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28

United States District Court Northern District of California

address relevancy and why the redacted versions of the billing records are not sufficient.
Alternatively, Defendants are also encouraged to consider entering into stipulated protective
orders with the non-parties to accomplish their discovery objective if the non-parties are so
amenable.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: December 10, 2013

KANDIS A. WESTMORE United States Magistrate Judge